



# FINAL

## US 40 Corridor Study Report Milepost 21 in Wasatch County to Milepost 157 in Uintah County, Utah

Utah Department of Transportation  
Project No. S-0040(65)21

Prepared by

HDR Engineering, Inc.  
3995 South 700 East, Suite 100  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84107

With assistance from

KMP Planning, Twin Falls, Idaho  
L2 Data Collection Services, Boise, Idaho  
McMillen Engineering, Boise, Idaho

December 2007







# Contents

---

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.1 Overview of U.S. Highway 40 .....</b>	<b>1-2</b>
<b>1.2 Study Process .....</b>	<b>1-2</b>
1.2.1 National Environmental Policy Act Coordination .....	1-3
<b>1.3 Corridor Segments .....</b>	<b>1-4</b>
<b>1.4 Corridor Vision, Goals, and Objectives.....</b>	<b>1-5</b>
1.4.1 Vision .....	1-5
1.4.2 Goals and Objectives.....	1-6
<b>1.5 Document Organization.....</b>	<b>1-8</b>
1.5.1 Supporting Documents .....	1-8
<b>CHAPTER 2: GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY CORRIDOR.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.1 Selection of the Study Corridor.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.2 Environmental Setting .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.2.1 Geology and Soils .....	2-2
2.2.2 Water Resources.....	2-5
2.2.3 Biological Resources.....	2-10
2.2.4 Cultural Resources .....	2-12
2.2.5 Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources .....	2-15
2.2.6 Hazardous Materials.....	2-17
<b>2.3 Land Use and Demographics.....</b>	<b>2-18</b>
2.3.1 Land Use along the Study Corridor.....	2-18
2.3.2 Demographics of the Study Corridor .....	2-25
<b>2.4 Roadway Characteristics .....</b>	<b>2-28</b>
2.4.1 Highway Geometrics.....	2-28
2.4.2 Structural Conditions .....	2-33
2.4.3 Drainage .....	2-34
2.4.4 Bridge and Structure Conditions .....	2-34
2.4.5 Traffic Conditions .....	2-35
2.4.6 Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities.....	2-43
<b>2.5 Transportation Plans That Apply to the Study Corridor .....</b>	<b>2-44</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3: STUDY CORRIDOR SEGMENT DETAILS .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.1 Segment 1: Project Start (MP 21) to Daniels Summit (MP 34) .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1.1 Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 1.....	3-1
3.1.2 Roadway Conditions along Segment 1 .....	3-2
<b>3.2 Segment 2: Daniels Summit (MP 34) to the Western Duchesne City Limit (MP 86).....</b>	<b>3-5</b>
3.2.1 Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 2.....	3-5
3.2.2 Roadway Conditions along Segment 2 .....	3-7



<b>3.3</b>	<b>Segment 3: Incorporated Area of Duchesne (MP 86 to MP 88) .....</b>	<b>3-9</b>
3.3.1	Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 3.....	3-9
3.3.2	Roadway Conditions along Segment 3 .....	3-9
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Segment 4: Eastern Limit of Duchesne (MP 88) to the Western Limit of Roosevelt (MP 112).....</b>	<b>3-11</b>
3.4.1	Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 4.....	3-11
3.4.2	Roadway Conditions along Segment 4 .....	3-12
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Segment 5: Roosevelt and Ballard Incorporated Areas (MP 112 to MP 119) .....</b>	<b>3-14</b>
3.5.1	Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 5.....	3-14
3.5.2	Roadway Conditions along Segment 5 .....	3-15
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Segment 6: Eastern Limit of Ballard (MP 119) to the Western Limit of Vernal (MP 142).....</b>	<b>3-18</b>
3.6.1	Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 6.....	3-18
3.6.2	Roadway Conditions along Segment 6 .....	3-19
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Segment 7: Vernal and Naples Incorporated Areas (MP 142 to MP 149) .....</b>	<b>3-21</b>
3.7.1	Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 7.....	3-21
3.7.2	Roadway Conditions along Segment 7 .....	3-22
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Segment 8: Eastern Limit of Naples (MP 149) to Project End (MP 157) .....</b>	<b>3-25</b>
3.8.1	Existing Environmental Conditions along Segment 8.....	3-25
3.8.2	Roadway Conditions along Segment 8 .....	3-26
<b>CHAPTER 4: PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT .....</b>		<b>4-1</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>General Public Involvement Strategy and Elements.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.2.1	Public Involvement Goals and Objectives .....	4-3
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Stakeholder Interaction .....</b>	<b>4-4</b>
4.3.1	Stakeholder Interviews.....	4-5
4.3.2	Stakeholder Workshops .....	4-6
<b>4.4</b>	<b>General Public Outreach .....</b>	<b>4-7</b>
4.4.1	Public Open House #1 .....	4-8
4.4.2	Public Open House #2 .....	4-8
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Ute Tribe Outreach .....</b>	<b>4-9</b>
4.5.1	Tribal Meeting #1.....	4-9
4.5.2	Tribal Meeting #2.....	4-10
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Agency Outreach .....</b>	<b>4-11</b>
4.6.1	Special Agency Workshop .....	4-11
<b>4.7</b>	<b>Summary of Comments and Observations.....</b>	<b>4-12</b>
<b>CHAPTER 5: PROJECT AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>		<b>5-1</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Construction Project List and Plans List: Identification Methodology.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Project List.....</b>	<b>5-2</b>
5.2.1	Project Prioritization .....	5-16



<b>5.3</b>	<b>City Plans .....</b>	<b>5-18</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Policy Recommendations .....</b>	<b>5-21</b>
5.4.1	Corridor-Management Agreements.....	5-21
5.4.2	Local Land-Use Planning.....	5-25
5.4.3	Notification .....	5-27
5.4.4	Road Standards .....	5-28
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Summary .....</b>	<b>5-28</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6: IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATES .....</b>		<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Implementation.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Cost Estimates.....</b>	<b>6-10</b>
6.2.1	Project C1: Full-Service Interchange at Intersection of US 40 and SR 88 .....	6-12
6.2.2	Project D1: Widen Highway to Four Lanes from Roosevelt to Vernal.....	6-13
6.2.3	Project E1: Intersection Modifications at US 40 and SR 87 in Duchesne.....	6-14
6.2.4	Project G1: Construct Center Turn Lane East of Ballard.....	6-15
6.2.5	Project H1: Add New Passing Lane between MP 116 and MP 119.....	6-16
6.2.6	Project J1: Modify Intersection and Approaches at L&L Corner in Roosevelt.....	6-17
6.2.7	Project K1: Intersection Modifications at US 40 and Pleasant Valley Road (MP 103.6) .....	6-18
6.2.8	Project M1: Install Signal at Intersection of US 40 and SR 45 in Naples .....	6-19
6.2.9	Project N1: Widen Highway to Four Lanes from Duchesne to Roosevelt.....	6-20
6.2.10	Project O1: Extend Existing Eastbound Passing Lane from MP 30.4 to MP 31.3 .....	6-21
6.2.11	Project T1: Connect Existing Westbound Passing Lanes between MP 61.4 and MP 59.7 .....	6-22
6.2.12	Project F2: Extend Existing Eastbound Passing Lane from MP 59.4 to MP 61 .....	6-23
6.2.13	Project G2: Intersection Modifications at US 40 and Red Creek Road (MP 65.4) .....	6-24
6.2.14	Project I2: Add 2 Miles of New Westbound Passing Lane between MP 46 and MP 35 .....	6-25
6.2.15	Project Q2: Extend Existing Eastbound Passing Lane from MP 51 to MP 51.4.....	6-26
<b>CHAPTER 7: REFERENCES.....</b>		<b>7-1</b>



## Tables

Table 2.2-1. Special-Status Soils along the Study Corridor.....	2-4
Table 2.2-2. Impaired Water Bodies along the Study Corridor .....	2-7
Table 2.2-3. Zone A Floodplains along the Study Corridor.....	2-9
Table 2.2-4. Recorded Cultural Resources along the Study Corridor.....	2-13
Table 2.2-5. Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources along the Study Corridor.....	2-16
Table 2.2-6. Hazardous Waste Handlers along the Study Corridor .....	2-17
Table 2.3-1. Land Ownership along the Study Corridor.....	2-19
Table 2.4-1. Percentage of the Corridor in Which Passing Is Allowed .....	2-30
Table 2.4-2. Existing Passing Lanes on US 40 in the Study Corridor .....	2-31
Table 2.4-3. Average Right-of-Way Width by Segment of US 40 in the Study Corridor .....	2-32
Table 2.4-4. Pavement Condition of US 40 in the Study Corridor .....	2-33
Table 2.4-5. Poor and Fair Structures along the US 40 Study Corridor.....	2-34
Table 2.4-6. Definitions of Level of Service on Highways .....	2-36
Table 2.4-7. Level of Service on US 40 in the Study Corridor in 2007 during the AM Peak Period .....	2-37
Table 2.4-8. Level of Service on US 40 in the Study Corridor in 2007 during the PM Peak Period.....	2-37
Table 2.4-9. Definitions of Level of Service at Intersections .....	2-38
Table 2.4-10. Level of Service and Delay at Intersections on US 40 in Roosevelt in 2007 during the AM Peak Period .....	2-39
Table 2.4-11. Level of Service and Delay at Intersections on US 40 in Roosevelt in 2007 during the PM Peak Period.....	2-39
Table 2.4-12. Level of Service and Delay at Intersections on US 40 in Vernal in 2007 during the AM Peak Period .....	2-40
Table 2.4-13. Level of Service and Delay at Intersections on US 40 in Vernal in 2007 during the PM Peak Period .....	2-40
Table 2.4-14. Proposed Standards for Access Management on State Highways.....	2-41
Table 2.4-15. Crashes Involving Bicycles, Pedestrians, and Motor Vehicles on US 40 in the Study Corridor, 1995–2004 .....	2-44
Table 2.5-1. Summary of Improvements to US 40 in Various Transportation Plans .....	2-45
Table 3.1-1. Accidents in Segment 1, 2002–2005 .....	3-3
Table 3.1-2. Level of Service on Segments of US 40 in 2007 and 2035 during the AM Peak Period.....	3-4
Table 3.1-3. Level of Service on Segments of US 40 in 2007 and 2035 during the PM Peak Period .....	3-4
Table 3.1-4. Annual Average Daily Traffic Projections for the US 40 Study Corridor .....	3-5
Table 3.2-1. Accidents in Segment 2, 2002–2005 .....	3-8
Table 3.3-1. Accidents in Segment 3, 2002–2005 .....	3-10
Table 3.4-1. Accidents in Segment 4, 2002–2005 .....	3-13



Table 3.5-1. Accidents in Segment 5, 2002–2005 .....	3-16
Table 3.5-2. Indicators of Level of Service at Intersections .....	3-16
Table 3.5-3. Level of Service at Intersections on US 40 in Roosevelt in 2007 and 2035 during the AM Peak Period .....	3-17
Table 3.5-4. Level of Service at Intersections on US 40 in Roosevelt in 2007 and 2035 during the PM Peak Period .....	3-17
Table 3.6-1. Accidents in Segment 6, 2002–2005 .....	3-20
Table 3.7-1. Accidents in Segment 7, 2002–2005 .....	3-23
Table 3.7-2. Level of Service at Intersections on US 40 in Vernal in 2007 and 2035 during the AM Peak Period .....	3-23
Table 3.7-3. Level of Service at Intersections on US 40 in Vernal in 2007 and 2035 during the PM Peak Period .....	3-24
Table 3.8-1. Accidents in Segment 8, 2002–2005 .....	3-26
Table 4.2-1. US 40 Public Involvement Elements and Schedule.....	4-2
Table 4.2-2. Public Involvement Support Tools .....	4-3
Table 5.2-1. Recommended Construction Projects for the US 40 Study Corridor .....	5-3
Table 5.2-2. Recommended Planning Documents for the US 40 Study Corridor .....	5-15
Table 5.3-1. Community Transportation Plan Recommendations <sup>a</sup> .....	5-19
Table 6.1-1. Implementation Strategy .....	6-2
Table 6.2-1. Planning-Level Cost Estimates for the US 40 Study Corridor .....	6-10

## **Figures**

Figure 1-1. US 40 Study Corridor.....	1-9
Figure 1-2. US 40 Corridor Study Segments .....	1-10
Figure 2-1. Land Ownership.....	2-47
Figure 3-1. Existing and Future PM Peak Level of Service.....	3-27

## **Charts**

Chart 2-1. Comparison of Projected 30-Year Population Growth for Cities along the US 40 Study Corridor .....	2-26
Chart 2-2. Comparison of Projected 30-Year Population Growth and Traffic along the US 40 Study Corridor .....	2-27



## Appendices

- Appendix A. Supporting Technical Studies
- Appendix B. Level of Service Methodology
- Appendix C. Projected Intersection Volumes and Level of Service
- Appendix D. Public Involvement Summary Report
- Appendix E. Recommended Projects by Segment
- Appendix F. Example Agreements
- Appendix G. Typical Cross-Sections for the US 40 Corridor